ROBUST ADAPTIVE FUZZY SLIDING MODE CONTROL FOR A CLASS OF UNCERTAIN DISCRETE-TIME NONLINEAR SYSTEMS

TSUNG-CHIH LIN¹, SHUO-WEN CHANG¹ AND CHAO-HSING HSU²

¹Department of Electronic Engineering Feng-Chia University No. 100, Wenhwa Road, Seatwen, Taichung 40725, Taiwan tclin@fcu.edu.tw; evilawen0918@hotmail.com

²Department of Electronic Engineering Chienkuo Technology University No. 1, Chien-Shou N. Road, Changhua 500, Taiwan chaohsinghsu@yahoo.com

Received September 2010; revised January 2011

ABSTRACT. This paper presents an adaptive interval type-2 fuzzy sliding mode controller for a class of unknown nonlinear discrete-time systems with training data corrupted by noise or rule uncertainties involving external disturbances. Adaptive interval type-2 fuzzy control scheme and sliding mode control (SMC) approach are incorporated to implement the main objective of controlling the plant to track a reference trajectory and prevent big chattering of the control effort. The Laypunov stability theorem has been used to testify the asymptotic stability of the whole system and the free parameters of the adaptive fuzzy controller can be tuned on-line by an output feedback control law and adaptive laws. The overall adaptive scheme guarantees the global stability of the resulting closed-loop system in the sense that all signals involved are uniformly bounded. The simulation example is given to confirm validity and tracking performance of the advocated design methodology. **Keywords:** Sliding mode control, Type-2 fuzzy control, Discrete time system, Adaptive control, Lyapunov theorem

1. Introduction. Nowadays, active research has been carried out in fuzzy-neural control. It has been proven that fuzzy logic system (FLS) can approximate any nonlinear function with any desired accuracy because of universal approximation theorem [1-10]. A great number of adaptive fuzzy neural control schemes have been proposed to get over the difficulty of extracting linguistic control rules from experts and deal with the system parameter uncertainties. Sliding mode control (SMC) as a general design approach for robust control systems is well established. There are many advantages of the sliding mode controller such as good transient, fast response, robustness of stability and insensitivity to the variation of plant parameters and external disturbances [5-9].

However, several adaptive fuzzy sliding mode control systems have been developed for continuous-time systems [11-15], but only a few of them are devoted to discrete-time systems [16-20]. Adaptive discrete-time fuzzy sliding mode control is proposed for anti-lock braking systems [27]. A discrete version of SMC is important when the implementation of the control is realized by computers with relative slow sampling period. It should be noticed that, theoretically, discrete-time SMC cannot be obtained from their continuous counterpart by means of simple equivalence.

Nevertheless, because of the environment changes and the associated uncertainties, linguistic uncertainty and noisy training data, the chosen type-1 sets might not be appropriate anymore. A type-2 fuzzy set is characterized by a fuzzy membership function [21-26]. For each element of this set is a fuzzy set in [0, 1], unlike a type-1 fuzzy set where the membership grade is a crisp value in [0, 1]. A type-2 FLS is characterized by IF-THEN rules, but its antecedent or consequent sets are type-2. Hence, type-2 FLSs can be used when the circumstances are too uncertain to determine exact membership grades such as when training data are corrupted by noise.

The type-2 FLS has been successfully applied to fuzzy neural network [28-33], VLSI testing and fuzzy controller designs [34]. The Lyapunov stability theorem has been used to testify the asymptotic stability of the whole system and the free parameters of the adaptive fuzzy controller can be tuned on-line by an output feedback control law and adaptive laws. It is proved that the overall control scheme can not only guarantee boundedness of the input and output of the closed-loop system but also make the tracking error converge to a small neighborhood of the origin.

The remaining of this paper is organized as follows. Problem formulation is given in Section 2. Adaptive fuzzy sliding mode control scheme for a class of nonlinear discrete-time system is presented in Section 3. The simulation example to demonstrate the performances of the proposed method is provided in Section 4. Section 5 gives the conclusions of the advocated design methodology.

2. **Problem Formulation.** Consider the discrete-time single-input single-output (SISO) nonlinear systems having a state space representation in the form

$$\begin{cases} x_1(k+1) = x_2(k) \\ x_2(k+1) = x_3(k) \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1}(k+1) = x_n(k) \\ x_n(k+1) = f(x(k)) + u(k) + d(k) \\ y(k) = x_1(k) \end{cases}$$
(1)

)

where $x(k) = [x_1(k), x_2(k), \dots, x_n(k)]$ is the state vector, $u(k) \in R$ and $y(k) \in R$ are the input and the output of the system, respectively. f(x(k)) is unknown but bounded function and d(k) is bounded external disturbance. Let the tracking error be

$$e_{1}(k) = x_{1}(k) - y_{d}(k)$$

$$e_{2}(k) = x_{2}(k) - y_{d}(k+1)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$e_{n}(k) = x_{n}(k) - y_{d}(k+n-1)$$

where $y_d(k)$ is the reference trajectory and the tracking error vector is denoted as $e(k) = [e_1(k), e_2(k), \dots, e_n(k)]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, the tracking error dynamic equation can be expressed as

$$e(k+1) = Ae(k) + B[f(x(k)) + u(k) - y_d(k+n) + d(k)]$$
(2)

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

The sliding surface in the space of the tracking-error vector can be defined as

$$s(k) = c_1 e_1(k) + c_2 e_2(k) + \dots + c_{n-1} e_{n-1}(k) + e_n(k) = Ce(k)$$
(4)

where $C = [c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}, 1]$ be selected so that $h(z) = z^n + c_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + c_2z + c_1$ is stable. The process of sliding mode control can be divided into two phases, i.e., the approaching phase with $s(k) \neq 0$ and the sliding phase with s(k) = 0. A condition to guarantee that the trajectory of the error vector e(k) will translate from the approaching phase to the sliding phase is select the control strategy such that [19]

$$s(k+1) - s(k) = -\varepsilon T s(k) - qT \operatorname{sgn}(s(k))$$
(5)

where ε , q > 0 and T > 0 is the sampling period. In the sliding phase, if the function f(x(k)) is known and the system is free of external disturbance, d(k) = 0, then the equivalent control $u_{eq}(k)$ which will force the system dynamics to stay on the sliding surface can be derived as follows.

From Equation (4), we have

$$s(k+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i e_i(k+1) + f(x(k)) - y_d(k+n) + u(k)$$
(6)

and the incremental change in s(k) can be expressed as

$$\Delta s(k+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i e_i(k+1) + f(x(k)) - y_d(k+n) + u_{eq}(k) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i e_i(k) - e_n(k) = 0 \quad (7)$$

then

$$u_{eq}(k) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i e_i(k+1) - f(x(k)) + y_d(k+n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i e_i(k) + e_n(k)$$
(8)

However, f(x(k)) is unknown and $d(k) \neq 0$, the ideal controller (7) cannot be implemented.

In the approaching phase $s(k) \neq 0$, in order to satisfy the sliding condition (5), a switching type control $u_{sw}(k)$ must be added

$$u_{sw}(k) = -\varepsilon T s(k) - q T \operatorname{sgn}(\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{k})) \tag{9}$$

In Section 3, in order to handle training data corrupted by noise or rule uncertainties, we will use interval type-2 FLS described in [28-34] to approximate function f(x(k)) and adaptive laws can be derived.

3. Robust Adaptive Fuzzy Sliding Mode Control Design. An adaptive fuzzy system is a FLS equipped with a training algorithm to maintain a consistent performance under plant uncertainties. The most important advantage of the adaptive fuzzy control over conventional adaptive control is that adaptive fuzzy controllers are capable of incorporating linguistic fuzzy information from human operator, whereas conventional adaptive controller is not. In this section, we will develop the adaptive interval type-2 fuzzy controller that can incorporate with linguistic information to design an adaptive law for the adjustable parameters in the controller, such that the closed loop output trajectory y(k) follows the reference trajectory $y_d(k)$.

To begin with, we replace f(x(k)) by interval type-2 FLS, $f(x(k)|\theta_f)$, described in [28-34] as

$$f(x(k)|\theta_f) = \theta_f^T(k)\xi(k) = \frac{\theta_{fr}^T(k)\xi_r(k) + \theta_{fl}^T(k)\xi_l(k)}{2}$$
(10)

where $\xi(k) = \frac{1}{2} [\xi_r(k)\xi_l(k)]^T$ is the fuzzy basis function vector, $\theta_f = [\theta_{fr} \ \theta_{fl}]^T$ is the corresponding adjustable parameter vector of each fuzzy logic system. The optimal parameter

vector is defined as

$$\theta_f^* = \arg\min_{\theta_f \in \Omega_{\theta_f}} \left\{ \sup_{x \in \Omega_x} \left| f(x(k)) - f(x(k) | \theta_f) \right\}$$
(11)

where Ω_{θ_f} and Ω_x are compact sets of suitable bounds on θ_f and x, respectively and they are defined as $\{\theta_f | |\theta_f| \leq M_f\}$ and $\Omega_x = \{x | |x| \leq M_x\}$ where M_f and M_x are positive constants. Define the minimum approximation error as

$$\omega_1(k) = f(x(k)) - f(x(k)|\,\theta_f^*) + d(k) \tag{12}$$

If the closed-loop system the fuzzy control u(k) is chosen as

$$u(k) = -k_d s(k) + u_{eq}(k) + u_{sw}(k) + u_3(k)$$
(13)

then substituting (10)-(13) into (6), after simple manipulation, we have

$$\Delta s(k+1) = -k_d s(k) + u_{sw}(k) + u_3(k) + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}_{fr}^T(k) \xi_r(k) + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}_{fl}^T(k) \xi_l(k) + \omega_1(k)$$
(14)

where k_d is a small positive real number, $\tilde{\theta}_{fr}(k) = \theta_{fr}^* - \theta_{fr}(k)$, $\tilde{\theta}_{fl}(k) = \theta_{fl}^* - \theta_{fl}(k)$ and the robust controller $u_3(k)$ is employed to attenuate the external disturbance d given as

$$u_3(k) = -\operatorname{sgn}(\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{k})) \left[-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{B}_1(\mathbf{k}) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{B}_1^2(\mathbf{k}) - 4\mathbf{B}_2(\mathbf{k}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]$$
(15)

with

$$B_1(k) = 2|k_d s(k)| + 2A_0(k) - 2|s(k)|$$
(16)

$$B_2(k) = [|k_d s(k)| + A_0(k)]^2$$
(17)

$$A_0(k) = s_{u2} + s_{fr} \|\xi_r(k)\| + s_{fl} \|\xi_l(k)\| + s_w$$
(18)

where s_w , s_{fr} , s_{fl} and s_{u2} are positive real constants and satisfy the following conditions.

$$|\omega_1(k)| \le s_w, \quad \left|\tilde{\theta}_{fr}^T(k)\right| \le s_{fr}, \quad \left|\tilde{\theta}_{fl}^T(k)\right| \le s_{fl}, \quad |u_{sw}(k)| \le s_{u2} \tag{19}$$

The main result of the robust adaptive interval type-2 fuzzy SMC scheme is summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Consider the uncertain nonlinear discrete time system (1) with the robust adaptive interval type-2 fuzzy controller given by (13). The parameter vectors $\theta_{fr}(k)$ and $\theta_{fl}(k)$ can be adjusted by the adaptive laws given by (20) and (21). It ensures that all the closed-loop signals are bounded and the tracking errors converge to zero.

$$\Delta\theta_{fr}(k) = \alpha_r \xi_r(k) s(k) \tag{20}$$

$$\Delta \theta_{fl}(k) = \alpha_l \xi_l(k) s(k) \tag{21}$$

where α_r and α_l are positive constants which determine the rates of adaptation.

Proof: First, the Lyapunov function candidate is chosen as

$$V(k) = \frac{1}{2}s^{2}(k) + \frac{1}{2\alpha_{r}}\tilde{\theta}_{fr}^{T}(k-1)\tilde{\theta}_{fr}(k-1) + \frac{1}{2\alpha_{l}}\tilde{\theta}_{fl}^{T}(k-1)\tilde{\theta}_{fl}(k-1)$$
(22)

Then, the incremental change in V(k) can be obtained as

$$\Delta V(k+1) = V(k+1) - V(k)$$

= $\frac{1}{2}s^2(k+1) - \frac{1}{2}s^2(k) + \frac{1}{2\alpha_r}\tilde{\theta}_{fr}^T(k)\tilde{\theta}_{fr}(k) - \frac{1}{2\alpha_r}\tilde{\theta}_{fr}^T(k-1)\tilde{\theta}_{fr}(k-1)$ (23)
+ $\frac{1}{2\alpha_l}\tilde{\theta}_{fl}^T(k)\tilde{\theta}_{fl}(k) - \frac{1}{2\alpha_l}\tilde{\theta}_{fl}^T(k-1)\tilde{\theta}_{fl}(k-1)$

Let

$$\Delta\theta_{tfr} = \frac{1}{2\alpha_r} \tilde{\theta}_{fr}^T(k) \tilde{\theta}_{fr}(k) - \frac{1}{2\alpha_r} \tilde{\theta}_{fr}^T(k-1) \tilde{\theta}_{fr}(k-1)$$
(24)

and

$$\Delta\theta_{tfl} = \frac{1}{2\alpha_l} \tilde{\theta}_{fl}^T(k) \tilde{\theta}_{fl}(k) - \frac{1}{2\alpha_l} \tilde{\theta}_{fl}^T(k-1) \tilde{\theta}_{fl}(k-1)$$
(25)

By using (24) and (25), (23) can be rewritten as

$$\Delta V(k+1) = \frac{1}{2}s^{2}(k+1) - \frac{1}{2}s^{2}(k) + \Delta\theta_{tfr} + \Delta\theta_{tfl}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(\Delta s(k+1) + s(k))^{2} - \frac{1}{2}s^{2}(k) + \Delta\theta_{tfr} + \Delta\theta_{tfl}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\Delta s^{2}(k+1) + s(k)\Delta s(k+1) + \Delta\theta_{tfr} + \Delta\theta_{tfl}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\Delta s^{2}(k+1) + s(k) \left[-k_{d}s(k) + u_{sw}(k) + u_{3}(k) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\theta}_{fr}^{T}(k)\xi_{r}(k) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\theta}_{fl}^{T}(k)\xi_{l}(k) + \omega_{1}(k) + \Delta\theta_{tfr} + \Delta\theta_{tfl}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\Delta S^{2}(k+1) - k_{d}S^{2}(k) + u_{sw}(k)S(k) + u_{3}(k)S(k) + \omega_{1}(k)S(k)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\theta}_{fr}^{T}(k)\xi_{r}(k)S(k) + \Delta\theta_{tfr} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\theta}_{fl}^{T}(k)\xi_{l}(k) + \Delta\theta_{tfl}$$
(26)

From (24) and (25), $\Delta \theta_{tfr}$ and $\Delta \theta_{tfl}$ can expressed by

$$\Delta\theta_{tfr} = \frac{1}{2\alpha_r} \left(\tilde{\theta}_{fr}^T(k) \tilde{\theta}_{fr}(k) - \left[\tilde{\theta}_{fr}(k) - \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fr}(k) \right]^T \left[\tilde{\theta}_{fr}(k) - \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fr}(k) \right] \right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\alpha_r} \tilde{\theta}_{fr}^T(k) \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fr}(k) - \frac{1}{2\alpha_r} \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fr}^T(k) \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fr}(k)$$
(27)

and

$$\Delta \theta_{tfl} = \frac{1}{2\alpha_l} \left(\tilde{\theta}_{fl}^T(k) \tilde{\theta}_{fl}(k) - \left[\tilde{\theta}_{fl}(k) - \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fl}(k) \right]^T \left[\tilde{\theta}_{fl}(k) - \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fl}(k) \right] \right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\alpha_l} \tilde{\theta}_{fl}^T(k) \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fl}(k) - \frac{1}{2\alpha_l} \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fl}^T(k) \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fl}(k)$$
(28)

Substituting (27) and (28) into (26), we can obtain

$$\Delta V(k+1) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta s^2(k+1) - k_d s^2(k) + u_{sw}(k) s(k) + u_3(k) s(k) + \omega_1(k) s(k) + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}_{fr}^T(k) \xi_r(k) s(k) + \Delta \theta_{tfr} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}_{fl}^T(k) \xi_l(k) s(k) + \Delta \theta_{tfl} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta s^2(k+1) - k_d s^2(k) + u_{sw}(k) s(k) + u_3(k) s(k) + \omega_1(k) s(k) + \tilde{\theta}_{fr}^T(k) \left[\frac{1}{2} \xi_r(k) s(k) - \frac{1}{\alpha_r} \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fr}(k) \right] - \frac{1}{2\alpha_r} \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fr}^T(k) \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fr}(k) + \tilde{\theta}_{fl}^T(k) \left[\frac{1}{2} \xi_l(k) s(k) - \frac{1}{\alpha_l} \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fl}(k) \right] - \frac{1}{2\alpha_l} \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fl}^T(k) \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fl}(k)$$
(29)

From the adaptive laws (20) and (21), we get

$$\Delta V(k+1) = -k_d s^2(k) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta s^2(k+1) + u_{sw}(k) s(k) + u_3(k) s(k) + \omega_1(k) s(k) - \frac{1}{2\alpha_r} \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fr}^T(k) \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fr}(k) - \frac{1}{2\alpha_l} \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fl}^T(k) \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fl}(k)$$
(30)

According to (14), we have

$$\Delta s(k+1)| \leq |k_d s(k)| + |u_{sw}(k)| + |u_3(k)| + \left|\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\theta}_{fr}^T(k)\xi_r(k)\right| + \left|\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\theta}_{fl}^T(k)\xi_l(k)\right| + |\omega_1(k)|$$

$$\leq |k_d s(k)| + s_{u2} + s_{fr} ||\xi_r(k)|| + s_{fl} ||\xi_l(k)|| + s_w + |u_3(k)|$$

$$\leq |k_d s(k)| + A_0(k) + |u_3(k)|$$
(31)

By taking square from both sides of (31), one can get

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta s(k+1)|^2 &\leq \left(|k_d s(k)|^2 + 2A_0(k) |k_d s(k)| + 2 |k_d s(k)| |u_3(k)| + 2A_0(k) |u_3(k)| \\ &+ A_0^2(k) + |u_3(k)|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \left([|k_d s(k)| + A_0(k)]^2 + [2 |k_d s(k)| + 2A_0(k) - 2 |s(k)|] |u_3(k)| \\ &+ 2 |s(k)| |u_3(k)| + |u_3(k)|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \left[-B_1(k) + \left(B_1^2(k) - 4B_2(k) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] |s(k)| \end{aligned}$$
(32)

Therefore, (30) can be expressed as

$$\Delta V(k+1) = -k_d s^2(k) + \omega_1(k) s(k) + u_{sw}(k) s(k) - \frac{1}{2\alpha_r} \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fr}^T(k) \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fr}(k) - \frac{1}{2\alpha_l} \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fl}^T(k) \Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fl}(k)$$
(33)

Since $u_{sw}(k)s(k) < 0$, $\Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fr}^T(k)\Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fr}(k) > 0$, $k_d s^2(k) > 0$, $\Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fl}^T(k)\Delta \tilde{\theta}_{fl}(k) > 0$ and the term $\omega_1(k)s(k)$ should be very small if not equal to zero in the adaptive interval type-2 FLS. So, we have

$$\Delta V(k+1) \le 0 \tag{34}$$

By using the Barbalat's lemma [23] we can easily show that S(k) will be decreased, and $s(k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, i.e., $\lim_{k \to \infty} e(k) = 0$. The proof is completed.

The overall Robust adaptive fuzzy sliding mode control scheme is as shown in Figure 1.

To summarize above analysis, the design algorithm for adaptive interval type-2 fuzzy sliding mode control is proposed as follows:

- [Step 1]: Specify the desired coefficients c_i , such that h(z) is a Hurwitz polynomial and obtain the sliding surface.
- [Step 2]: Define the membership functions $\mu_{F_i^l}(x)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$ and compute the fuzzy basis function vector $\xi(x)$.
- [Step 3]: Suitably select the adaptive parameters s_f , s_{fl} , s_{fr} , s_w , s_{u2} , ε , q.
- [Step 4]: By using the adaptive laws (20) and (21) to adjust the parameter vectors $\underline{\theta}_{fr}\underline{\theta}_{fl}$, then control input u(k) of the system can be constructed as (13).

4. Simulation Example. In this section, we will apply our adaptive interval type-2 fuzzy SMC to control a second-order discrete-time nonlinear system to track a desired trajectory.



FIGURE 1. The overall robust adaptive fuzzy sliding mode control scheme

Example 4.1. Consider the second-order discrete-time nonlinear system

$$\begin{cases} x_1(k+1) = x_2(k) \\ x_2(k+1) = \frac{1 - e^{-x_1(k)}}{1 + e^{-x_1(k)}} - 0.1x_1(k)\sin(t) + u(k) + d(k) \\ y(k) = x_1(k) \end{cases}$$
(35)

where $d(t) = 0.1 \cos(0.3t)$ is the external disturbance. $y_d(t) = \sin(0.1t) + \cos(0.3t)$ is the reference trajectory.

According to the design procedure, the design is given in the following steps:

- [Step 1]: The feedback gain matrix is chosen as $C = [c_2 \ c_1] = [1 \ 0.1]$ and the sliding surface is obtained as $s = (c_1e_1 + c_2e_2)$.
- [Step 2]: Specify the design parameters $s_f = s_{fr} = s_{fl} = 0.09$, $s_w = 0.05$, $s_{u2} = 0.12$, $\varepsilon = 1.666$, q = 3.333 in adaptive laws (20) and (21), simulation time $t_f = 150$ second and the step size h = 0.06.
- [Step 3]: The following type-1 and interval type-2 fuzzy membership functions for x_i , i = 1, 2 are selected as F_i^j , j = 1, ..., 7 shown in Table 1. Also, the footprint of uncertainty of the type-2 membership function for x_i , i = 1, 2, is as shown in Figure 2.

$$\mu_{F_i^1}(x_i) = \frac{1}{1+0.1\exp(5(x_i+m_{i1}))}, \quad \mu_{F_i^2}(x_i) = \exp(-3(x_i+m_{i2})^2),$$

$$\mu_{F_i^3}(x_i) = \exp(-3(x_i+m_{i3})^2), \qquad \mu_{F_i^4}(x_i) = \exp(-3(x_i+m_{i4})^2),$$

$$\mu_{F_i^5}(x_i) = \frac{1}{1+0.1\exp(5(x_i+m_{i5}))}.$$

[Step 4]: The control law is expressed as $u(k) = -k_d s(k) + u_{eq}(k) + u_{SW}(k) + u_3(k)$.

The simulation results are described as following two cases: free of internal noise case and training data corrupted by White Gaussian Noise (WGN) with signal-to-noise (SNR) = 20 dB case for both type-1 and interval type-2 adaptive fuzzy SMCs.

A. <u>Free of internal noise case:</u>

(I). Adaptive type-1 FNN SMC:

TABLE	1.	Type-1	and	interval	type-2	fuzzy	membership	functions	for	x_i
i = 1, 2										



FIGURE 2. The FOU of the type-2 membership function for x_i , i = 1, 2

The tracking performance of the output trajectory y(k) and the reference trajectory $y_d(k)$ is as shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4 shows control input u(k). Trajectory of the sliding surface and mean square of the tracking error are shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6, respectively.



FIGURE 3. The output trajectories of y(k) and $y_d(k)$

FIGURE 4. Control input u(k)

(II). Adaptive interval type-2 FNN SMC:

The tracking performance of the output trajectory y(k) and the reference trajectory $y_d(k)$ is as shown in Figure 7 and Figure 8 shows control input u(k). Trajectory of the





FIGURE 6. Mean square of the tracking error

sliding surface and mean square of the tracking error are shown in Figure 9 and Figure 10, respectively.



FIGURE 7. The output trajectories of y(k) and $y_d(k)$



FIGURE 8. Control input u(k)



FIGURE 9. Trajectory of the sliding surface s(k)

FIGURE 10. Mean square of the tracking error

B. Training data corrupted by WGN with SNR = 20 dB noise: In order to show that interval type-2 FNN SMC can handle numerical uncertainties associated with inputs and outputs of the FLC, the noisy training data is corrupted by WGN with SNR = 20 dB.

(I). Adaptive type-1 FNN SMC:

The tracking performance of the output trajectory y(k) and the reference trajectory $y_d(k)$ is shown in Figure 11 and Figure 12 shows control input u(k). Trajectory of the

sliding surface and mean square of the tracking error are shown in Figure 13 and Figure 14, respectively.



FIGURE 11. The output trajectories of y(k) and $y_d(k)$



FIGURE 13. Trajectory of the sliding surface s(k)



FIGURE 12. Control input u(k)



FIGURE 14. Mean square of the tracking error

(II). Adaptive interval type-2 FNN SMC:

The tracking performance of the output trajectory y(k) and the reference trajectory $y_d(k)$ is as shown in Figure 15 and Figure 16 shows control input u(k). Trajectory of the sliding surface and mean square of the tracking error are shown in Figure 17 and Figure 18, respectively.

It is observed that the tracking performance of the interval type-2 fuzzy SMC is much better than that of the type-1 fuzzy SMC. Meanwhile, in order to deal with noisy training data, type-1 fuzzy SMC must expend more control effort. For different internal noise levels, the mean square tracking errors of the type-1 and interval type-2 fuzzy SMCs are indicated in Figure 19. The comparison of tracking performance and control effort between type-1 and interval type-2 fuzzy SMCs are given in Table 2.

Remark 4.1. From Figure 19 and Table 2, it is observed that for low internal noise levels (large SNR values), the tracking performance and control effort for both type-1 and interval type-2 fuzzy SMCs are almost the same. Nevertheless, for high internal noise levels (small SNR values), the tracking performance of the interval type-2 fuzzy SMC is much better than that of the type-1 fuzzy SMC and type-1 fuzzy SMC must expend more control effort.



FIGURE 19. Mean square of the tracking errors for different noise levels of type-1 and interval type-2 fuzzy SMCs

TABLE 2 .	The o	comparison	of tracking	performance	and	$\operatorname{control}$	effort	be-
tween type	e-1 and	d interval ty	vpe-2 FNN S	SMCs				

Name	Type	e-2	Type-1		
	Mean square	Square sum	Mean square	Square sum	
	tracking error	of control u	tracking error	of control u	
Noise Free	4.81	731.43	3.83	761.64	
Noise 40 dB	5.2	732.01	4.2	761.61	
Noise 30 dB	7.8	731.66	7.44	763.53	
Noise 20 dB	38.65	740.05	81.04	778.78	
Noise 15 dB	118.9	746.92	1179.10	1090.30	
Noise 10 dB	339.5	786.21	2787	1777.8	

5. **Conclusions.** In this paper, we propose an adaptive interval type-2 fuzzy SMC for a class of unknown nonlinear discrete-time systems corrupted by internal noise and external disturbance. The simulation results show that the interval type-2 fuzzy SMC overcomes the limitations of type-1 fuzzy SMC. Especially, as in high level of uncertainties the type-2 fuzzy SMC has given better and smoother responses that have outperformed their type-1 fuzzy SMC counterparts.

Acknowledgments. The authors gratefully acknowledge the helpful comments and suggestions of the reviewers, which have improved the presentation.

REFERENCES

- L. X. Wang, Stable adaptive fuzzy control of nonlinear systems, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol.1, pp.146-155, 1993.
- [2] L. X. Wang and J. M. Mendel, Fuzzy basis function, universal approximation and orthogonal least square learning, *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks*, vol.3, no.5, pp.807-814, 1992.
- [3] L. X. Wang, Adaptive Fuzzy Systems and Control: Design and Stability Analysis, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA, 1994.
- [4] J. J. Buckley, Theory of fuzzy controller: An introduction, *Fuzzy Sets and Syst.*, vol.51, pp.249-258, 1992.
- [5] G. C. Hwang and S. C. Lin, A stability approach to fuzzy control design for nonlinear systems, *Fuzzy Sets and Syst.*, vol.48, pp.279-287, 1992.
- [6] C.-H. Wang, H.-L. Liu and T.-C. Lin, Direct adaptive fuzzy-neural control with observer and supervisory control for unknown nonlinear systems, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol.10, no.1, pp.39-49, 2002.
- [7] C.-H. Wang, T.-C. Lin, T.-T. Lee and H.-L. Liu, Adaptive hybrid intelligent control for unknown nonlinear dynamical systems, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics Part D*, vol.32, no.5, pp.583-597, 2002.
- [8] T.-C. Lin, C.-H. Wang and H.-L. Liu, Observer-based indirect adaptive fuzzy-neural tracking control for nonlinear SISO systems using VSS and H[∞] approaches, Fuzzy Sets and Syst., vol.143, no.2, pp.211-232, 2004.
- [9] B. S. Chen, C. H. Lee and Y. C. Chang, H[∞] tracking design of uncertain nonlinear SISO systems: Adaptive fuzzy approach, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol.4, pp.32-43, 1996.
- [10] Y. G. Leu, T. T. Lee and W. Y. Wang, Robust adaptive fuzzy-neural controllers for uncertain nonlinear systems, *IEEE Trans. Robotics and Automation*, vol.15, pp.805-817, 1999.
- [11] W. Chang, J. B. Park, Y. H. Joo and G. Chen, Design of robust fuzzy-model-based controller with sliding mode control for SISO nonlinear systems, *Fuzzy Sets and Syst.*, vol.125, no.1, pp.1-22, 2002.
- [12] H. Abid, M. Chtourou and A. Toumi, Robust fuzzy sliding mode controller for discrete nonlinear systems, *International Journal of Computers, Communications and Control*, vol.3, no.1, pp.6-20, 2008.
- [13] H. Lee, E. Kim, H. Kang and M. Park, A new sliding-mode control with fuzzy boundarylayer, Fuzzy Sets and Syst., vol.120, no.1, pp.135-143, 2001.
- [14] V. I. Utkin, Variable structure systems with sliding mode, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol.22, no.2, pp.212-222, 1977.
- [15] X. H. Yu, Z. H. Man and B. L. Wu, Design of fuzzy sliding-mode control systems, Fuzzy Sets and Syst., vol.95, no.3, pp.295-306, 1998.
- [16] D. Milosavljevic, General conditions for the existence of a quasi-sliding mode on the switching hyperplane in discrete variable structure systems, Automat. Remote Contr., vol.46, pp.307-314, 1985.
- [17] S. Z. Sarpturk, Y. Istefanopulos and O. Kaynak, On the stability of discrete-time sliding mode control systems, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol.32, no.10, pp.930-932, 1987.
- [18] K. Furuta, Sliding mode control of a discrete system, Systems and Control Letters, vol.14, pp.145-152, 1990.
- [19] W. Gao, Y. Wang and A. Homaifa, Discrete-time variable structure control systems, *IEEE Trans-actions on Industrial Electronics*, vol.42, no.2, pp.117-122, 1995.
- [20] W. Gao and J. C. Hung, Variable structure control of nonlinear systems: A new approach, IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol.40, no.1, pp.45-55, 1993.

- [21] J. M. Mendel and R. Jhon, Type-2 fuzzy sets made simple, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol.10, pp.117-127, 2002.
- [22] J. M. Mendel and H. Wu, New results about the centroid of an interval type-2 fuzzy set, including the centroid of a fuzzy granule, *Information Sciences*, vol.177, pp.360-377, 2007.
- [23] Q. Liang and J. M. Mendel, Interval type-2 fuzzy logic systems: Theory and design, IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst., vol.8, pp.535-550, 2000.
- [24] Q. Liang and J. M. Mendel, Equalization of nonlinear time-varying channels using type-2 fuzzy adaptive filters, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol.8, pp.551-563, 2000.
- [25] H. Hagras, Type-2 FLCs: A new generation of fuzzy controllers, IEEE Computational Intelligent Magazine, vol.2, no.1, pp.30-43, 2007.
- [26] J. M. Mendel, Type-2 fuzzy sets and systems: An overview, IEEE Computational Intelligent Magazine, vol.2, pp.20-29, 2007.
- [27] M. R. Akbazadeh, K. J. Emami and N. Pariz, Adaptive discrete-time fuzzy sliding mode control for anti-lock braking systems, North American Fuzzy Information Proceeding Society Conference, pp.554-559, 2002.
- [28] T.-C. Lin, H.-L. Liu and M.-J. Kuo, Direct adaptive interval type-2 fuzzy control of multivariable nonlinear systems, *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol.22, no.3, pp.420-430, 2009.
- [29] T.-C. Lin, M.-J. Kuo and C.-H. Hsu, Robust adaptive tracking control of multivariable nonlinear systems based on interval type-2 fuzzy approach, *International Journal of Innovative Computing*, *Information and Control*, vol.6, no.3(A), pp.941-961, 2010.
- [30] T.-C. Lin, Observer-based robust adaptive interval type-2 fuzzy tracking control of multivariable nonlinear systems, *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol.23, no.3, pp.386-399, 2010.
- [31] T.-C. Lin, Based on interval type-2 fuzzy neural network direct adaptive sliding mode control for SISO nonlinear systems, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol.15, no.12, pp.4084-4099, 2010.
- [32] T.-C. Lin, M. Roopaei and M.-C. Chen, Car suspension control by indirect adaptive interval type-2 fuzzy neural network control, World Applied Sciences Journal, vol.8, no.5, pp.555-564, 2010.
- [33] T.-C. Lin, Stable indirect adaptive type-2 fuzzy sliding mode control using lyapunov approach, International Journal of Innovative Computing, Information and Control, vol.6, no.12, pp.5725-5748, 2010.
- [34] T.-C. Lin, Analog circuit fault diagnosis under parameter variations based on type-2 fuzzy logic systems, *International Journal of Innovative Computing*, *Information and Control*, vol.6, no.5, pp.2137-2158, 2010.